# **Randomized trace estimation**

Tyler Chen

https://chen.pw/slides.pdf

#### **Setting**: Given a $n \times n$ matrix **A**

**Goal**: Estimate  $tr(\mathbf{A}) = A_{1,1} + A_{2,2} + \dots + A_{n,n}$ 

**Constraint**: Can only access A by matrix-vector product queries

#### **Pros**:

- In many linear-algebra algorithms, matrix-vector products dominate the cost of computation
- We can hope to prove query complexity low-bounds to understand the hardness of linear algebra problems

#### Cons:

- Ignores arithmetic costs
- Matvecs may not be true core primitive

We can get the exact trace with *n* matvec queries.

We can get the exact trace with *n* matvec queries.

- 1. Multiply **A** with  $\mathbf{e}_i = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$  to read off the *i*-th column.
- 2. extract the *i*-th entry of  $Ae_i$ , and add to running sum
- 3. Repeat.

We can get the exact trace with *n* matvec queries.

- 1. Multiply **A** with  $\mathbf{e}_i = [0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$  to read off the *i*-th column.
- 2. extract the *i*-th entry of  $Ae_i$ , and add to running sum
- 3. Repeat.

Can we get the trace approximately with far fewer queries?

Let **v** be a random vector where  $v_i \sim unif(-1, +1)$  iid. Consider the estimator,

$$\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j A_{i,j}.$$

Let **v** be a random vector where  $v_i \sim unif(-1, +1)$  iid. Consider the estimator,

$$\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j A_{i,j}.$$

What is the expectation?

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}] = \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}[v_{i}^{2}]A_{i,i} + \sum_{i\neq j} \mathbb{E}[v_{i}v_{j}]A_{i,j}$$

Let **v** be a random vector where  $v_i \sim unif(-1, +1)$  iid. Consider the estimator,

$$\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j A_{i,j}.$$

What is the expectation?

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}] = \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}[v_i^2]A_{i,i} + \sum_{i\neq j} \mathbb{E}[v_iv_j]A_{i,j} = \sum_{i} 1A_{i,i} + \sum_{i\neq j} 0A_{i,j} = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}).$$

Let **v** be a random vector where  $v_i \sim unif(-1, +1)$  iid. Consider the estimator,

$$\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j A_{i,j}$$

What is the expectation?

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}] = \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}[v_i^2]A_{i,i} + \sum_{i\neq j} \mathbb{E}[v_iv_j]A_{i,j} = \sum_{i} 1A_{i,i} + \sum_{i\neq j} 0A_{i,j} = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}).$$

What about the variance?

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathbf{v}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}] = \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{blah}(i,j,k,\ell)] = 2\|\mathbf{A} - \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{A})\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 = 2\sum_{i\neq j} A_{i,j}^2.$$

Some simple distributions:

- iid signs:  $2\|\mathbf{A} \text{diag}(\mathbf{A})\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2$ 
  - For vectors with real iid entries, this is the minimum variance distribution<sup>1</sup>
- iid Gaussians:  $2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2$
- real sphere:  $\frac{2n}{n+2} \left( \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 \frac{1}{n} |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})|^2 \right)$ 
  - This is the minimax distribution over all  $n \times n$  (symmetric) matrices

Great overview: Epperly 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hutchinson 1989. <sup>2</sup>Wimmer, Wu, and Zhang 2014.

Some simple distributions:

- iid signs:  $2\|\mathbf{A} \text{diag}(\mathbf{A})\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2$ 
  - For vectors with real iid entries, this is the minimum variance distribution<sup>1</sup>
- iid Gaussians:  $2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2$
- real sphere:  $\frac{2n}{n+2} \left( \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 \frac{1}{n} |\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})|^2 \right)$ 
  - This is the minimax distribution over all  $n \times n$  (symmetric) matrices

Great overview: Epperly 2023

By averaging *m* iid copies, we can get accuracy  $O(||\mathbf{A}||_{\mathsf{F}}/\sqrt{m})$ . Note that this is independent of *n* (if the norm is constant)!

Lower bounds show that even *m* adaptive quadratic form queries can't do better than  $O(1/\sqrt{m})$  queries<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Hutchinson 1989.

<sup>2</sup>Wimmer, Wu, and Zhang 2014.

#### Idea: Given a matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ of known trace, decompose

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}).$$

If  $\|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 \ll \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2$ , the variance can be improved greatly by applying the random estimator to the remainder  $\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ .

Idea: Given a matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  of known trace, decompose

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}).$$

If  $\|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 \ll \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2$ , the variance can be improved greatly by applying the random estimator to the remainder  $\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ .

**Question:** How do we determine  $\tilde{A}$  (in the matvec query model)?

- Sketching!

We will construct  $\mathbf{Q}$  approximating the top subspace of  $\mathbf{A}$  and set  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}$ . We can get a variance reduced estimator:

Hutch++ = tr(
$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$$
) +  $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{v}_{i}$ 

1. Form  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G} \ n \times m$  Gaussianm matvecs2. Form  $\mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{orth}(\mathbf{Y})$ m matvecs3. Form  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}$ m matvecs4. Compute  $\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q})$ m matvecs5. Approximate  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) = \operatorname{tr}((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{A})$  by  $\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_{i}$ m matvecs

The entire variance of the estimator comes from step 5. Suppose **A** is positive definite. Then:

**Fact**:  $\|\mathbf{A} - [\mathbf{A}]_k\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 \le \frac{1}{4k} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})^2$ , where  $[\mathbf{A}]_k$  is the optimal rank-*k* approximation

Fact:  $\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 \lesssim 2\|\mathbf{A} - [\mathbf{A}]_{m/2}\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Meyer, Musco, Musco, and Woodruff 2021.

The entire variance of the estimator comes from step 5. Suppose **A** is positive definite. Then:

Fact:  $\|\mathbf{A} - [\mathbf{A}]_k\|_F^2 \le \frac{1}{4k} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})^2$ , where  $[\mathbf{A}]_k$  is the optimal rank-*k* approximation Fact:  $\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\|_F^2 \le 2\|\mathbf{A} - [\mathbf{A}]_{m/2}\|_F^2$ 

**Together**:

$$\mathbb{V}[\text{Hutch}++] \approx \frac{2}{m} \mathbb{E} \| (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}) \mathbf{A} \|_{\mathsf{F}}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{m^{2}} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}).$$

Using O(m) vectors, we get a O(1/m) relative approximation. This is a quadratic improvement and nearly optimal<sup>3</sup> in matvec query models!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Meyer, Musco, Musco, and Woodruff 2021.

Similar deflation ideas suggested in physics<sup>4</sup> and numerical analysis<sup>5</sup>

Subsequent improvmenets:

- Persson, Cortinovis, and Kressner 2022: automatic allocation of matvecs to low-rank approximation and stochastic trace estimation
- Epperly, Tropp, and Webber 2023: exchangability princple and cheap downdating
   – use all matvecs for both

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Girard 1987; Lin 2016; Morita and Tohyama 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Wu, Laeuchli, Kalantzis, Stathopoulos, and Gallopoulos 2016; Gambhir, Stathopoulos, and Orginos 2017.

What if **A** has additional structure?

- If A is nearly diagonal, using  $[\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, ...]$  works really well.
- If A is nearly tridiagonal, we can use  $[\pm 1, 0, \pm 1, 0, ...]$  and  $[0, \pm 1, 0, \pm 1, ...]$

More generally, can try to recover A from matvec queries.<sup>6</sup>

An  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix **H** has real eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors:

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

The matrix function  $f(\mathbf{H})$  is defined as

$$f(\mathbf{H}) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\lambda_i) \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{u}_i^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

In many applications of trace estimation,  $\mathbf{A} = f(\mathbf{H})$ .

If f(x) is a degree k polynomial, then we can exactly commpute  $f(\mathbf{H})\mathbf{v}$  using k matvecs with  $\mathbf{H}$ .

More generally we can approximate  $f\left(\mathbf{H}\right)\mathbf{v}$  from the information in the Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K}_{k+1}(\mathbf{H},\mathbf{v}) = \{p(\mathbf{H})\mathbf{v} : \deg(p) \le k\} = \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{H}\mathbf{v},\ldots,\mathbf{H}^k\mathbf{v}\}.$$

One well-known approach is by using the information generated by the Lanczos algorithm.  $^7\,$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Druskin and Knizhnerman 1992; Saad 1992.

- 1. Form  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AG}, \mathbf{G} \ n \times m$  Gaussian
- 2. Form  $\mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{orth}(\mathbf{Y})$
- 3. Form  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}$
- 4. Compute  $tr(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) = tr(\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q})$
- 5. Approximate tr( $\mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ ) = tr(( $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}$ ) $\mathbf{A}$ ) by  $\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_{i}$

**Thought**: in step 1, approximate **AG** from span{**G**, **HG**, ..., **H**<sup>q</sup>**G**}.

- 1. Form  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{AG}, \mathbf{G} \ n \times m$  Gaussian
- 2. Form  $\mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{orth}(\mathbf{Y})$
- 3. Form  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}$
- 4. Compute  $tr(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}) = tr(\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q})$
- 5. Approximate tr( $\mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ ) = tr(( $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}$ ) $\mathbf{A}$ ) by  $\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_{i}$

**Thought**: in step 1, approximate **AG** from span{**G**, **HG**, ..., **H**<sup>q</sup>**G**}.

**Observation**: If we take  $\mathbf{Q} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{HG}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{q}\mathbf{G}\}$  the projection stage will be better (for the same number of matvecs with  $\mathbf{H}$ )

**Worry**: In step 3, we will approximate AQ from span{ $Q, HQ, ..., H^tQ$ }. If Q has a lot of columns, this will be more expensive.

Suppose  $\mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{span}{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{AG}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{q-1}\mathbf{G}}$ 

**Observation**: We can build our approximation to **AQ** by continuing the block Krylov susbpace with **G**.

$$span{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{HQ}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{t}\mathbf{Q}} = span{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{HG}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{q}\mathbf{G}, \\ \mathbf{HG}, \mathbf{H}^{2}\mathbf{G}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{q+1}\mathbf{G}, \\ \ddots \\ \mathbf{H}^{t}\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}^{t}\mathbf{G}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{t+q}\mathbf{G} \} \\ = span{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{HG}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{t+q}\mathbf{G} \}.$$

This "Krylov aware" idea is simple, but provides many benefits.

- use a (much) larger projection space "for free"
- algorithm is now agnostic to f
  - we can easily compute approximations to  $tr(f(\mathbf{H}))$  for multiple f without additional matrix products with  $\mathbf{H}$ .
  - in particular, the approximation we get is a quadrature approximation for  $\Psi$

Note also that this illusrates that we should't just naievely use matvec query algorithms with Krylov subspace methods to compute matvecs with  $\mathbf{A} = f(\mathbf{H})$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Chen and Hallman 2022.

## Example: equilibrium thermodynamics of quantum spin systems

In quantum physics, we often wish to compute  $\operatorname{tr}(f(\mathbf{H})) = \operatorname{tr}(\exp(-\beta \mathbf{H}))$  for all  $\beta > 0$ .

- if  $\beta = \infty$  (zero temperature), then we only need ground state(s)
- if  $\beta = 0$  (high temperature), then quadratic trace estimation works very well
- for intermediate beta, we might expect low-rank approaches to work well













We also have a number of modifications to make this idea more practical:

– Using the information in the space span  $\{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{AG}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{q+t}\mathbf{G}\}$  we can approximate

$$\|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}f(\mathbf{A})\|$$

in order to determine a good value of q; see also<sup>9</sup>

If memory or reorthogonalization costs are an issue, we can use restarting, and pick Q ⊂ span{G, AG, ..., A<sup>q+1</sup>G}
e.g. Q = A<sup>q+1</sup>G

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Persson, Cortinovis, and Kressner 2022.

There is a lot of work on trace estimation in the matvec query model

Lots of potential for lower bounds

Instead of applying matvecs with  ${\bf A}=f({\bf H})$  with a black-box Krylov method, we should look into the box

Chen, Tyler and Eric Hallman (2022). Krylov-aware stochastic trace estimation.

Druskin, Vladimir and Leonid Knizhnerman (July 1992). "Error Bounds in the Simple Lanczos Procedure for Computing Functions of Symmetric Matrices and Eigenvalues". In: *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* 31.7, pp. 20–30.

Epperly, Ethan (2023). Stochastic trace estimation.

- Epperly, Ethan N., Joel A. Tropp, and Robert J. Webber (2023). XTrace: Making the most of every sample in stochastic trace estimation.
- Gambhir, Arjun Singh, Andreas Stathopoulos, and Kostas Orginos (Jan. 2017). "Deflation as a Method of Variance Reduction for Estimating the Trace of a Matrix Inverse". In: *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 39.2, A532–A558.
- Girard, Didier (1987). Un algorithme simple et rapide pour la validation croisée généralisée sur des problèmes de grande taille.
- Halikias, Diana and Alex Townsend (2022). Structured matrix recovery from matrix-vector products.
- Hutchinson, M.F. (Jan. 1989). "A Stochastic Estimator of the Trace of the Influence Matrix for Laplacian Smoothing Splines". In: *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation* 18.3, pp. 1059–1076.
- Lin, Lin (Aug. 2016). "Randomized estimation of spectral densities of large matrices made accurate". In: Numerische Mathematik 136.1, pp. 183–213.
- Meyer, Raphael A. et al. (Jan. 2021). <sup>#</sup>Hutch++: Optimal Stochastic Trace Estimation". In: Symposium on Simplicity in Algorithms (SOSA). Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, pp. 142–155.

Morita, Katsuhiro and Takami Tohyama (Feb. 2020). "Finite-temperature properties of the Kitaev-Heisenberg models on kagome and triangular lattices studied by improved finite-temperature Lanczos methods". In: *Physical Review Research* 2.1.

- Persson, David, Alice Cortinovis, and Daniel Kressner (July 2022). "Improved Variants of the Hutch++ Algorithm for Trace Estimation". In: SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 43.3, pp. 1162–1185.
- Saad, Yousef (1992). "Analysis of Some Krylov Subspace Approximations to the Matrix Exponential Operator". In: *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 29.1, pp. 209–228.
- Wimmer, Karl, Yi Wu, and Peng Zhang (2014). "Optimal Query Complexity for Estimating the Trace of a Matrix". In: Automata, Languages, and Programming - 41st International Colloquium, ICALP 2014, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 8-11, 2014, Proceedings, Part I. Ed. by Javier Esparza et al. Vol. 8572. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, pp. 1051–1062.
- Wu, Lingfei et al. (2016). "Estimating the trace of the matrix inverse by interpolating from the diagonal of an approximate inverse". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 326, pp. 828–844.